Scalar dark matter

work with
Bohdan Grzadkowski, Odd Magne Ogreid,
Alexander Pukhov, Madhi Purmohammadi

Per Osland
August 2011
Warszawa

PRD 2009, JHEP 2011

Dark Matter

- Astrophysical evidence: 85% of Universe matter is dark [list from Hooper & Baltz, 2008]
  - rotational speeds of galaxies
  - orbital velocities of galaxies within clusters
  - gravitational lensing
  - cosmic microwave background
  - light element abundance
  - large scale structure
- Not homogeneously distributed
- Many particle and astrophysical candidates

Dark Matter

- Astrophysical evidence: 85% of Universe matter is dark [list from Hooper & Baltz, 2008]
  - rotational speeds of galaxies
  - orbital velocities of galaxies within clusters
  - gravitational lensing
  - cosmic microwave background
  - light element abundance
  - large scale structure
- Not homogeneously distributed
- Many particle and astrophysical candidates

Local halo density: 0.22-0.75 GeV/cm^3

Dark Matter

- Astrophysical evidence: 85% of Universe matter is dark [list from Hooper & Baltz, 2008]
  - rotational speeds of galaxies
  - orbital velocities of galaxies within clusters
  - gravitational lensing
  - cosmic microwave background
  - light element abundance
  - large scale structure
- Not homogeneously distributed
- Many particle and astrophysical candidates

Local halo density: 0.22-0.75 GeV/cm^3 One per cup of coffee

footnote: scales

$1 \text{ light year} \approx (3\times10^8 \text{m/s})\times(\pi\times10^7 \text{s}) \approx 10^{16}\text{m}$

$\text{galactic diameter} \approx 80{,}000 \text{ light years} \approx 10^{21}\text{m}$

$1 \text{ parsec} = 1 \text{ pc} \approx 3.26 \text{ light years}$

Solar system: ~ 8.5 kpc from Galactic Center

8.5 kpc (~28,000 light years) vs 40,000 light years

➨ We are far from the galactic center



Scales
Unknown
Gravity explored down to here
Other interactions explored down to here
Planck scale
Particle physics
Atomic physics
You are here
Solar system
Galaxies
Clusters of galaxies
light year
10^-36 m
10^-24 m
10^-12 m
1 m
10^12 m
10^24 m

Ambition:

- Problem at scales of 10^{20} m
- Solution: new physics at scales below 10^{-18} m

!!!

Schematic spectrum



The Big Picture



- neutrino ν – hot DM
- neutralino χ
- "generic" WIMP
- axion a
- axino $\widetilde{a}$
- gravitino $\widetilde{G}$
- ????

solution of DM: must go beyond SM!

Leszek Roszkowski, 2010

Popular Dark Matter candidates

- Neutralino of Supersymmetry
- Axions, axinos
- Neutrinos (exist!)
- Gravitinos
- Scalars

Simple estimate

Density of dark matter given by Early Universe consideration:

Equilibrium between Hubble expansion and annihilation

Calculable ↓

$$\Omega_{\rm DM} h^2 = C \frac{T_0^3}{M_{\rm Pl}^3} \frac{1}{\langle \sigma v \rangle}$$

T_0 CMBR temp

WMAP: $\Omega_{\rm DM} h^2 = 0.1131 \pm 0.0034$ and $\langle \sigma v \rangle = \frac{\alpha^2}{m^2}$ ⇒ $m \sim 100\ {\rm GeV}$

mass scale fits well with SUSY

Direct/indirect detection?

- Interacts very weakly with ordinary matter
- No strong (nuclear) interactions
- Might be local enhancement near solar system
- Ordinary particles might be scattered, recoil (CDMS-II)
- Might annihilate in sun, look for photons/positrons
- Might annihilate in space, Milky Way,...

PAMELA, ATIC, Fermi-LAT,...

Scalar DM

- "Inert (Scalar) Doublet Model", Barbieri et al, 2006

Extend SM with additional scalar doublet, unbroken Z_2 symmetry makes lightest "odd" particle stable. No vev, no direct coupling to SM matter.

$$V = \mu_1^2|H_1|^2 + \mu_2^2|H_2|^2 + \lambda_1|H_1|^4 + \lambda_2|H_2|^4 + \lambda_3|H_1|^2|H_2|^2 + \lambda_4|H_1^\dagger H_2|^2 + \frac{\lambda_5}{2}\left[(H_1^\dagger H_2)^2 + h.c.\right]$$

2HDM

Unbroken Z_2: $H_1 \to H_1$ and $H_2 \to -H_2$.

$\langle H_2 \rangle = 0$.

Coupling to non-inert Higgs → $\lambda_L = (\lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5)/2$

Motivation (Barbieri et al)

May alleviate Little Hierarchy Problem, by allowing heavier SM Higgs (400 GeV) without conflict with "electroweak precision data" (S and T).

Also work by:

- Ma; Kubo, Ma, Suematso; Cao, Ma, Rajasekaran
- Lopez Honorez, Nezri, Oliver, Tytgat
- Gustafsson, Lundstrom, Bergstrom, Edsjo
- Cirelli, Strumia, Tamburini
- Andreas, Hambye, Tytgat
- Dolle, Su
- Pierce, Thaler
- ...

Motivation (Barbieri et al)

May alleviate Little Hierarchy Problem, by allowing heavier SM Higgs (400 GeV) without conflict with "electroweak precision data" (S and T).

New particles (from isospin doublet):





Motivation (Barbieri et al)

May alleviate Little Hierarchy Problem, by allowing heavier SM Higgs (400 GeV) without conflict with "electroweak precision data" (S and T).

New particles (from isospin doublet):





Laura Lopez Honorez, Emmanuel Nezri, Josep F. Oliver, Michel H.G. Tytgat



Figure 1: Annihilation channels into gauge bosons final state with corresponding couplings.



Figure 2: Annihilation channels into Higgs final state.

Allowed regions

$M_{H^\pm} > 79.3$ GeV LEP (PDG)

$M_A \gtrsim 110$ GeV LEP, Lundquist et al



Three (4) regions allowed for $M_S \equiv M_{H_0}$

LOW: Andreas, Hambye, Tytgat; Hambye, Tytgat

MEDIUM: Barbieri, Hall, Rychkov; Lopez Honorez, Nezri, Oliver, Tytgat

"NEW, VIABLE": Lopez Honorez, Yaguna

HIGH: Lopez Honorez, Nezri, Oliver, Tytgat; Hambye, Ling, Lopez Honorez, Rocher; Cirelli, Fornengo, Strumia

IDM2: 2HDM + inert doublet

Grzadkowski et al, 2009

Motivation: IDM + CP violation

Fields:

$$\Phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1^+ \\ (v_1 + \eta_1 + i\chi_1)/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_2^+ \\ (v_2 + \eta_2 + i\chi_2)/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} \eta^+ \\ (S + iA)/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Coupling:

Potential:

$$V(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \eta) = V_{12}(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) + V_3(\eta) + V_{123}(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \eta)$$

$$V_{12}(\Phi_1,\Phi_2) = -\frac{1}{2}\left\{m_{11}^2\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_1 + m_{22}^2\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_2 + \left[m_{12}^2\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_2 + \text{h.c.}\right]\right\}$$

(standard)

$$+\frac{\lambda_1}{2}(\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_1)^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2}(\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_2)^2 + \lambda_3(\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_1)(\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_2)$$

$$+\lambda_4(\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_2)(\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_1) + \frac{1}{2}\left[\lambda_5(\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_2)^2 + \text{h.c.}\right]$$

$$V_3(\eta) = m_\eta^2\eta^\dagger\eta + \frac{\lambda_\eta}{2}(\eta^\dagger\eta)^2$$

Coupling:

$$V_{123}(\Phi_1,\Phi_2,\eta) = \lambda_{1133}(\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_1)(\eta^\dagger\eta) + \lambda_{2233}(\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_2)(\eta^\dagger\eta)$$

$$+\lambda_{1331}(\Phi_1^\dagger\eta)(\eta^\dagger\Phi_1) + \lambda_{2332}(\Phi_2^\dagger\eta)(\eta^\dagger\Phi_2)$$

(most general)

$$+\tfrac{1}{2}\left[\lambda_{1313}(\Phi_1^\dagger\eta)^2 + \text{h.c.}\right] + \tfrac{1}{2}\left[\lambda_{2323}(\Phi_2^\dagger\eta)^2 + \text{h.c.}\right]$$

Many parameters...

Many parameters! Simplify!

"Dark democracy":
$$\lambda_a \equiv \lambda_{1133} = \lambda_{2233},$$
$$\lambda_b \equiv \lambda_{1331} = \lambda_{2332},$$
$$\lambda_c \equiv \lambda_{1313} = \lambda_{2323} \text{ (real)}.$$

Masses of inert sector:

$$M^2_{\eta^\pm} = m^2_\eta + \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda_a v^2,$$
$$M^2_S = m^2_\eta + \tfrac{1}{2}(\lambda_a + \lambda_b + \lambda_c)v^2 = M^2_{\eta^\pm} + \tfrac{1}{2}(\lambda_b + \lambda_c)v^2,$$
$$M^2_A = m^2_\eta + \tfrac{1}{2}(\lambda_a + \lambda_b - \lambda_c)v^2 = M^2_{\eta^\pm} + \tfrac{1}{2}(\lambda_b - \lambda_c)v^2$$

Important:
These $\lambda_{a,b,c}$ characterize coupling of inert sector to non-inert sector, and also mass splitting in inert sector

“Dark democracy”

Constraints

- positivity (rather complicated), 20% excluded
- unitarity, 60% excluded
- global minimum, 10% excluded
- additional 2HDM constraints: $T, \quad b \to s\gamma$ etc
- DM

EW "precision data"

determined by MicrOMEGAs

Positivity

Define:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_x &= \lambda_3 + \min\left(0, \lambda_4 - |\lambda_5|\right)\\ \lambda_y &= \lambda_{1133} + \min\left(0, \lambda_{1331} - |\lambda_{1313}|\right)\\ \lambda_z &= \lambda_{2233} + \min\left(0, \lambda_{2332} - |\lambda_{2323}|\right)\end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda_1 > 0, \quad \lambda_2 > 0, \quad \lambda_\eta > 0, \quad \lambda_x > -\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}$$

$$\lambda_y > -\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_\eta}, \quad \lambda_z > -\sqrt{\lambda_2 \lambda_\eta}$$

Plus additional constraint, which in the case of Dark democracy $\lambda_y = \lambda_z$ takes the form:

$$\lambda_y \geq 0 \vee \left(\lambda_\eta \lambda_x - \lambda_y^2 > -\sqrt{(\lambda_\eta \lambda_1 - \lambda_y^2)(\lambda_\eta \lambda_2 - \lambda_y^2)}\right)$$

Getting correct DM density

Main Early Universe annihilation mechanisms:

- Annihilation to $W^+ W^-$, effective above 75 GeV
- Annihilation via real or virtual neutral Higgs

like IDM...

Annihilation in the Early Universe

The DM particles can annihilate via the gauge coupling:

$$SSW^+W^- : \quad \frac{ig^2}{2}$$

$$SSZZ : \quad \frac{ig^2}{2\cos^2\theta_W}$$

Annihilation in the Early Universe

The DM particles can annihilate via the gauge coupling:

$$SSW^+W^- : \quad \frac{ig^2}{2}$$

$$SSZZ : \quad \frac{ig^2}{2\cos^2\theta_W}$$

or to non-inert scalars via trilinear

Note

$$SSH_j : \quad -2iF_{SSj}\lambda_L v$$

$$F_{SSj} = \cos\beta R_{j1} + \sin\beta R_{j2}$$

or quartic couplings:

$$SSH_jH_j : \quad -2i(\lambda_L - \lambda_c R_{j3}^2)$$

$$SSH_jH_k : \quad 2i\lambda_c R_{j3}R_{k3}$$

$$SSH^+H^- : \quad -i\lambda_a$$

Allowed regions in M_S



Scan over parameters

1. M_S, M_1 (lowest masses of inert and 2HDM sectors, fixed)
2. M_A, $M_{\eta^\pm}$ (inert sector, physical masses, fixed).
3. M_2, μ (2HDM sector parameters)
4. m_η (inert sector, soft mass parameter, fixed).
5. $\tan\beta$, $M_{H^\pm}$ (2HDM sector),
   $0.5 \leq \tan\beta \leq 50$, $300 \text{ GeV} \leq M_{H^\pm} \leq 700 \text{ GeV}$.
6. α_1, α_2, α_3 (2HDM sector),
   $-\pi/2 \leq \alpha_{1,2} \leq \pi/2$, and $0 \leq \alpha_3 \leq \pi/2$.

Collect results in $M_{\eta^\pm}, m_\eta$ plane

Light DM: coupling to Higgs, $|\lambda_L|$ can not be too small





2 × 60 GeV = 120 GeV
resonant annihilation
λ_L small
Allowed regions
M_S: 60 GeV
M_1: 120 GeV
λ_L
-0.3
-0.2
-0.1
0
0.05
LEP bound
m_η [GeV]
Soft mass parameter
0
50
100
150
M_η± [GeV]
Allowed regions
M_S: 60 GeV
M_1: 200 GeV
λ_L
-0.3
-0.2
-0.1
0
0.05
LEP bound
0
50
100
150
M_η± [GeV]
Mass of charged state



forbidden,
coannihilation too fast
Allowed regions
M_S: 75 GeV
M_1: 120 GeV
λ_L
m_η [GeV]
M_η± [GeV]
LEP bound
-0.2
-0.1
0
0.05
150
100
50
0
Allowed regions
M_S: 75 GeV
M_1: 400 GeV
λ_L
M_η± [GeV]
LEP bound
Soft mass parameter
Mass of charged state

Coannihilation just right:

$$S\eta^{\pm} \to W^{\pm}\gamma$$

$$S\eta^{\pm} \to W^{\pm\star} \to u\bar{d},\ d\bar{u},\ c\bar{s},\ s\bar{c}$$



Allowed regions in M_S, M_1

Lightest neutral Higgs



red: forbidden

blue: allowed

Allowed regions in M_S, M_1



Lightest neutral Higgs

Little hierarchy problem alleviated

red: forbidden

blue: allowed

High region:



Allowed regions
M_S: 550 GeV
M_1: 120 GeV
λ_L
M_A
m_η [GeV]
$M_{\eta^\pm}$ [GeV]
554
552
550
548
546
-0.08
-0.06
-0.04
-0.02
0
0.02
0.04
0.06
0.08



Allowed regions
M_S: 800 GeV
M_1: 120 GeV
λ_L
M_A
m_η [GeV]
$M_{\eta^\pm}$ [GeV]
810
805
800
795
790
-0.25
-0.2
-0.15
-0.1
-0.05
0
0.05
0.1
0.15
0.2
0.25

High region:



Note small mass differences

CP violation

Measured in terms of invariants (Gunion and Haber, 2005):

$$\mathrm{Im}\,J_1 = -\frac{v_1^2 v_2^2}{v^4}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda_5$$

$$\mathrm{Im}\,J_2 = -\frac{v_1^2 v_2^2}{v^8}\left[\left((\lambda_1 - \lambda_3 - \lambda_4)^2 - |\lambda_5|^2\right) v_1^4 + 2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda_5 v_1^2 v_2^2 - \left((\lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - \lambda_4)^2 - |\lambda_5|^2\right) v_2^4\right] \mathrm{Im}\,\lambda_5$$

$$\mathrm{Im}\,J_3 = \frac{v_1^2 v_2^2}{v^4}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2\lambda_4 + 2\lambda_b)\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda_5$$

small extra contribution

CP violation if at least one of these is non-zero

CP violation

A "representative" case:

$$(M_S, M_A, M_{\eta^\pm}, m_\eta) = (75, 110, 90, 100)\ \text{GeV}$$
$$(M_1, M_2, \mu) = (120, 300, 200)\ \text{GeV}$$



orders of magnitude higher than in SM

(No solution found for large tanβ)

CP violation: electron edm

Unit: $e\ 10^{-27}\ \mathrm{cm}$ (~ current limit)



Direct detection



Direct detection



Compare CDMS-II and XENON100



Compare CDMS-II and XENON100



lower part:
heavier H_1

LHC prospects

If charged and neutral scalars of inert doublet are at electroweak scale, then scalars can be produced and perhaps even observed at the LHC:

$$pp \to SSX, AAX, SAX, S\eta^{\pm}X, A\eta^{\pm}X, \eta^{+}\eta^{-}X$$

LHC prospects

If charged and neutral scalars of inert doublet are at electroweak scale, then scalars can be produced and perhaps even observed at the LHC:

$$pp \to SSX, AAX, SAX, S\eta^{\pm}X, A\eta^{\pm}X, \eta^{+}\eta^{-}X$$

followed by:

$$\eta^{+} \to S\ell^{+}\nu_{\ell}$$

Similar to muon decay, except that S is massive (and scalars, not fermions):

$$\Gamma_{\eta^{\pm}} = \frac{G_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}}{30\pi^{3}} \left(M_{\eta^{\pm}} - M_{S}\right)^{5}$$

$$\Delta^{\pm} M \equiv M_{\eta^{\pm}} - M_S$$

Long lifetime



Degeneracy at high masses

but cross section would be small

Cross sections

$pp \to \eta^+\eta^- + X$



Conclusions

...if scalars are dark matter...

- Scalar sector could be much more exciting than in the SM
- Possibly signals in Direct or Indirect detection experiments
- Possibly interesting signals at the LHC
- In the meantime, parts of parameter space will be excluded